

PHD House, 4th Floor, Ramakrishna Dalmia Wing 4/2, Siri Institutional Area, August Kranti Marg, New Delhi – 110016, Tel# 9599665859 E-mail: ajafri@mait.com □ Website: http://www.mait.com

Ref.No.MAIT/PY/2728 May 08, 2023

Shri Sanjay Kumar Agrawal Dy. Director General (Disaster Management) Department of Telecommunications

Subject:- Regarding Notification No.F.No.15-2/2021-DM regarding Cell Broadcasting & Request for a Joint DoT-CDOT-Industry Virtual Session

Respected Sir,

Greetings from MAIT, the apex industry association for ICT in India!

This is further to our earlier mail dated April 13, 2023, w.r.t. **DoT Notification No. F.No. 15-2/2021-DM dated April 6, 2023** regarding Cell broadcast in new smartphones and feature phones.

We convey our thanks to you for taking notice of industry's concerns and calling for a meeting (which was scheduled for May 4, 2023, but was postponed). We understand that the meeting shall be rescheduled shortly, and industry is eagerly looking to the meeting to have clarity on the above-referred notification.

First, on behalf of the mobile handset industry, we would like to ensure you that all handset brands ensure their full support for having successful enablement of Cell Broadcasting feature. However, as you will agree that none of the requirements are hardware-based and has dependencies on software for the mobile brands.

Hence, in order to have the CB feature enabled, MAIT requests you to also call representatives from Google/Android for this Consultative Meeting. We have also been informed by the industry that since Google/Android has not been involved in any of the discussions, they are not aware of the obligations w.r.t. the notification. It is paramount to define detailed parameters which is absolutely critical for the successful implementation of the Cell Broadcasting feature which has to be clarified to industry.

In addition to the earlier request for clarity, please find below the additional points for clarification for your feedback and kind consideration:-

#### 1. Auto readout feature:

Auto read out feature for all the 22 Indian languages will involve considerable high effort that would span many years of development effort for synthesised voice generation out of text. It would also be challenging to get the language speaking experts for all 22 languages. Hence, we would request to limit this to feature only to the primary and secondary languages. We would also request if there can be a pre-canned audio that would be more meaningful for this feature to work.

## 2. ETWS support:

1) ETWS has critical low latency requirements and has high impact on battery life of the device. Both CMAS and ETWS are mutually exclusive and serves the same purpose, we would request to consider the implementation of one of the two standards. Typically, most countries (US, Europe, Middle East) use CMAS Alerts only whereas Japan uses only ETWS. To the best of our knowledge both CMAS and ETWS is not deployed together in any of the countries.

2) Is the ETWS infrastructure for delivering the warnings with low latency deployed in India. Have carriers systems integrated with the capability to support ETWS alert delivery mechanisms. If yes, can we test it? - If not, we would request to enable this requirement at a later phase when the infrastructure is ready.

#### 3. Number of alert types are too many:

The number of configurable switches (around 30) are too many for a user to configure and this is cause of confusion to the user. Many times, user will tend to disable the feature at once and we permanently lose them from having the feature enabled. Our suggestion is to limit the number of Alert types. Alert type can be grouped together for example the Extreme alert type and Severe alert type, etc. and have them under a single emergency alert type. For example:

- 1) National Alerts (4370, 4383)
- 2) Emergency Alerts (4371, 4372, 4385, 4386, 4373 4378, 4386 4391)
- 3) Child Abduction Alerts (4379,4392)
- 4) Test Alerts (4355, 4393, 4380)

#### 4. Operator alerts:

Please define the usage of this alert type. This has never been enabled anywhere in the world as this might have privacy concerns. Misuse of the facility should not be allowed under any circumstances and the purpose of operator alerts should only be Disaster alerts.

### 5. Primary and secondary language support:

Please provide clarity around the primary and secondary language support. If this differentiation of primary and secondary is not specified, then the UE will alert the user in all the languages that would be broadcasted that would bring duplicate/repetition in multiple languages.

#### 6. Testing:

Without end-to-end testing with the telecom service operators, it would be challenging to enable any of the alert types. We would urge DoT to facilitate the end-to-end testing with the telecom service providers of all the alert types. If no end-to-end testing is done, then the guarantee for the feature to work on ground is not ensured and in times of disaster, the end user will be in a difficult situation.

# 7. Does the network support cell broadcast with all networks 2G/3G/4G/5G?

These implementation aspects need to be addressed for smooth rollout of the CB feature.

Look forward to the new date for Consultative Meeting and favourable consideration of our inputs.

Warm regards.

Col. AA Jafri, Retd. Director General